

ARKANSAS SUPREME COURT

No. CACR 05-241

ISAAC DEWAYNE RUSSELL
Petitioner

v.

STATE OF ARKANSAS
Respondent

Opinion Delivered March 12, 2009

PRO SE MOTION AND AMENDED
MOTIONS FOR RECONSIDERATION
[CIRCUIT COURT OF PULASKI
COUNTY, CR 2003-3247]

MOTIONS DENIED.

PER CURIAM

On December 19, 2008, this court handed down *Russell v. State*, CACR 05-241 (Ark. Dec. 19, 2008) (per curiam), in which we denied petitioner's petition and amended petitions to reinvest jurisdiction in the trial court to consider a petition for writ of error coram nobis. Therein, we held that petitioner failed to demonstrate that the prosecutor had suppressed material exculpatory evidence, or that a fundamental error of fact existed that was extrinsic to the record and would have prevented the rendition of the judgment had it been known at the time of the trial. *Larimore v. State*, 327 Ark. 271, 938 S.W.2d 818 (1997).

Now before us are petitioner's pro se motion and amended motions for reconsideration of that decision. In the motions for reconsideration at issue here, petitioner reiterates the same conclusory allegations made in the prior petitions. Petitioner has therefore failed to meet his burden of demonstrating that there was some error of fact or law in the present decision that would merit reconsideration of the denial of the petition and amended petitions to reinvest jurisdiction in the trial court to consider a petition for writ of error coram nobis.

Motions denied.